

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,031	11/19/2003	Mark L. DiOrio	MTB005US1P	7148
27906	7590 09/20/2004		EXAMINER	
PATENT LAW OFFICES OF DAVID MILLERS 6560 ASHFIELD COURT SAN JOSE, CA 95120			NGUYEN, JIMMY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2829	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/718,031	DIORIO, MARK L.	
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Jimmy Nguyen	ith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	· appears on the cover sheet wi	in the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of the provided for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. a reply within the statutory minimum of thin eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>19 November 2003</u>	•	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- W - 1 - C		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2003			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ie Examiner, note the attached	u Onice Action of 10fff PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		Application No	
Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage	
application from the International B			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔯 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	B/08) 5) Notice of I	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0004. 6/25/04	6) 🛄 Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/718,031

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The specification is objected to because
 - Page 13 line 15 probe tip "630" instead of "620"
 - Page 14 " vias 740" is not found.
 - Page 17, 18 last paragraph "probe device "915" is not found.

Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 10, 13 –17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng et al (US 6621710) in view of Nakajima et al (US 5804983).

As to claims 1, 13, Cheng et al disclose (fig 3) a probing system and the method of forming a probe for testing a device (300) comprising:

Form a probe (probe card, fig 3) comprising a semiconductor die (220, 210) on which probe tips (223) are arranged in a pattern that matches a pattern of terminals (320) on the device (300); therefore Cheng et al teach the method of forming probe tips

However, Cheng et al are silent on the method of fabricating the interconnect structure from a tester to the probe tips.

0,

On the other hand, Nakajima et al teach a tester (28) electrically connected to the probe tips (23).

It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to connect the tester of Nakajima et al to the probe card system of Cheng et al for the purpose of transmitting, evaluating, controlling and analyzing the testing signal.

As to claims 2, 5, Cheng et al disclose (fig 3) the system of claim 1, wherein the device (probe card, fig 3) comprises a semiconductor material (silicon, substrate 220) that is substantially the same as material in the semiconductor die (300, also silicon).

As to claim 3, Nakajima et al disclose (fig 1) the system of claim 1 further comprising a probe card (22) including a receptacle (25, card holder) in which the probe (22) is detachably mounted (column 5 lines 65 – 67), wherein the tester (28) makes electrical connections to the probe tips (23) through the probe card (22).

As to claim 4, The combination of Chenge et al (fig 3) disclose the system of claim 3, wherein the probe further comprises a substrate (210) on which the semiconductor die(220) is mounted; further, Nakajima et al disclose the receptacle (25) being sized to hold the substrate (22).

As to claims 6, 14 – 17, Cheng et al disclose (fig 3) the system of claim 4 and the method of claim 13, wherein the semiconductor die (210, 220) comprises contact pads (224) to which respective probe tips (223) are attached, and wire bonds (240, 241) electrically connect the contact pads (224) to the substrate (210).

As to claim 7, Cheng et al disclose (fig 3) the system of claim 1, wherein the semiconductor die comprises:

terminals (the terminals at the bottom of the board 210 through vias 211) on a bottom surface of the semiconductor die (210, 220); and

conductive vias (211) that pass through the semiconductor die (210) and provide electrical connections between the probe tips (223) on a top surface (221) of the die (210, 220) and the terminals (the terminals at the bottom of the board 210 through vias 211) on the bottom surface.

As to claim 8, Cheng et al disclose (fig 3) the system of claim 7, wherein the probe further comprises a substrate (210) on which the semiconductor die (220) is mounted, wherein the terminals (the patterns of terminals of substrate are matching with the patterns of terminals of board 210) of the semiconductor die (220) directly contact the substrate (210).

Application/Control Number: 10/718,031

Art Unit: 2829

As to claim 9, Cheng et al disclose (fig 3) the system of claim 8, further comprising a probe card, wherein terminals on the substrate directly contact the probe card.

As to claim 10, Nakajima et al disclose (fig 1) the system of claim 1 further comprising a positioning system (17) adapted to position the probe (23) relative to the device (14) so that the probe tips (23) contact the terminals on the device (14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakajima et al (US 5804983).

As to claim 11, Nakajima et al disclose a probe card for electrical testing of a device, comprising:

a first substrate (22) adapted for mounting on test equipment (18);

a receptacle (25) mounted on the first substrate (22); and

Art Unit: 2829

a probe (23) in the receptacle (25), wherein the probe includes a semiconductor die having probe tips (23) on a surface of the semiconductor die (22) in a pattern that matches a pattern of terminals on the device (14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakajima et al (US 5804983) in view of Cheng et al (US 6621710).

As to claim 12, Nakajima et al disclose everything except for the probe further comprises a second substrate to which the semiconductor die is attached.

On the other hand, Cheng et al teach (fig 3) the probe further comprises a second substrate (210) to which the semiconductor die (220) is attached.

It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to add the second substrate as part of the structure of the probe card for the purpose of supporting the structure.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy Nguyen at (571) 272-1965. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

JN. August 13, 2004

LUANTHAI PRIMARY EXAMINEP